

RATING SHEET FOR COOPERATIVE STATION OBSERVER AWARDS

INSTRUCTIONS - This form is for use in making recommendations for the Jefferson (unusual and outstanding service) and Holm (outstanding service) awards for substation observers. It should be initiated by the SNS, the observer's immediate supervising office, or the Regional Sub. station Management "Staff". Where more than one service is rendered, all supervisors should have an opportunity to rate observer. Those forms not initiated by the Regional Substation Management "Staff" should be collected by that office and all forms forwarded to NWS Headquarters through NCC for review and comment in time to reach NWS Headquarters by April 15 of each year. Use back of form or additional sheet if more space is needed for any of the following items.

NAME OF OBSERVER			STATION	STATE
AGE	DATE SERVICE BEGAN	PREVIOUS AWARDS (type and year given)	TYPE OF STATION (Pcpn. only, Temp.-Pcpn., River, etc.)	
PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION			ACTIVITIES IN COMMUNITY (If known)	

ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

RATING SCALE FOR POINT SYSTEM - The following scale should be used to rate observers on items 2 through 9:

0 - Service known to be unsatisfactory	1 - Quality of service unknown but presumed satisfactory	2-5- Quality of service known (Please cite incidents as basis on which you give more than one point.	POINTS BY:				FOR COMMITTEE USE
			AI	B 2	C3	D4	
1. Long individual record of satisfactory weather observations, or a long family record of such observations.							
a. For individuals, 1 point for every 5 years, or							
b. For a family, 1 point for every 5 years after 60 years.							
2. Consistently accurate and legible weather observations. 0 to 5 points							
3. Taking or reporting observations under hazardous 5 or extreme weather 5 points conditions over extended period of time 0 to							
4. Unusual effort to maintain continuity of observations during illness, to 5 points emergency absences, or equipment failure. 0							
5. Consistent or unusual effort to insure early receipt of data at collection centers. to 5 points 0							
6. Independent preparation or publication of climatological data or summaries, based on equality, consistency, and length at time issued. 0 to 3 points 0							
7. Consistent and/or unusual effort to disseminate weather information. to 3 points 0							
8. Consistent and/or unusual care of instruments. 0 to 3 points							
9-. Cooperation with National Weather Service officials and representatives. 0 to 3 points 0							
TOTAL POINTS °							

IS THERE SOME QUALITY NOT LISTED ABOVE THAT YOU BELIEVE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THIS CANDIDATE? (No points are to be given but information will be considered in the over_all evaluation.)

CITATION (To be prepared by the originating office in approximately 25 words.)

FOR JEFFERSON CANDIDATES. The unusual, nature of a candidate's service is considered for this award. Please list such services:

ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION BY: 1(Rate observer in Column A)

INDORSED BY: 2(Rate observer in Column B)

INDORSED BY: 3(Rate observer by other Supr. office, if appropriate, in Column C)		REVIEWED BY NCC 4(Rate observer in Column D)	
FOR NWS HEADQUARTERS USE ONLY ° APPROVED 9		9 JEFFERSON 9 HOLM	9 REJECTED